# Basic Stormwater Characteristics and Small Storm Hydrology The Integration of Water Quality and Drainage Design Objectives

Robert Pitt, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE Emeritus Cudworth Professor of Urban Water Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering University of Alabama Tuscaloosa, AL, USA 35487

## **Outline of Presentation**

- Possible Beijing stormwater issues
- Stormwater quality characteristics
- Sources of stormwater pollutants
- First-flush of stormwater pollutants
- Beijing drainage design storms
- Urban stormwater hydrology
- Pavement hydrology
- Infiltration characteristics of compacted urban soils
- Sources of stormwater flows and pollutants; field monitoring
- TSS vs. SSC and PSD relationships
- Summary/Conclusions
- 2

# Stormwater Effects

- Sediment (amount and quality)
- Habitat destruction (mostly through high flows [energy] and sedimentation)
- Eutrophication (nutrient enrichment)
- Low dissolved oxygen (from organic materials)
- Pathogens (urban wildlife vs. municipal wastewater)

Photo by Lovena, Harrisburg, PA

- Toxicants (heavy metals and organic toxicants)
- Temperature
- Debris and unsafe conditions
- etc.

# Possible Stormwater Issues in Beijing

- High seasonal flows, long dry period
- High nutrient discharges
- First flush investigations
- Distributed infiltration to:
  - Reduce flow discharges to drainage system to reduce overflows and other drainage issues
  - Decreased discharges of nutrients to surface waters
  - Enhance water supply

# Data Origin for National Stormwater Quality Database (NSQD)

Source	Total Storms	Percentage
Phase I NPDES (MS4)	5,707	62.5
EPA's Nationwide Urban Runoff Program (NURP)	1,757	19.2
International BMP Database (influent data at outfalls)	883	9.7
Special Projects (USGS, state programs, and others)	783	8.6
TOTAL	9,130	100

5

Constituents and Number of Observations Included in NSQD ver. 4 (having at least 50 observations; high-lighted constituents have at least 5,000 observations)				
<ul> <li>Total events: 9,130</li> <li>Precipitation depth: 5,172</li> <li>Runoff depth: 2,591</li> <li>Hardness: 1,670</li> <li>Alkalinity: 525</li> <li>pH: 3,253</li> <li>Temperature: 1,251</li> <li>TDS, 4,158</li> <li>Conductivity: 1,517</li> <li>Chloride: 869</li> <li>Total solids: 100</li> <li>Total suspended solids: 7,713</li> <li>Turbidity: 936</li> <li>BOD<sub>8</sub>: 5,227</li> <li>COD: 5,290</li> <li>DO: 192</li> <li>Fecal coliforms: 2,223</li> <li>Fecal streptococcus: 1,317</li> <li>Total coliforms: 282</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Total nitrogen: 1,213</li> <li>Total Kjeldahl N: 7,044</li> <li>Total organic N: 66</li> <li>Ammonia: 3,020</li> <li>Nitrate N: 1,028</li> <li>Nitrite N: 714</li> <li>Nitrite + nitrate: 5,748</li> <li>Total phosphorus: 8,019</li> <li>Filtered P: 4,051</li> <li>Ortho phosphate: 746</li> <li>Filtered ontimony: 1,584</li> <li>Filtered antimony: 641</li> <li>Total arsenic: 2,441</li> <li>Filtered arsenic: 770</li> <li>Total beryllium: 1,509</li> <li>Filtered cadmium: 9,105</li> <li>Filtered cadmium: 961</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Total chromium: 2,328</li> <li>Filtered chromium: 821</li> <li>Total copper: 1,002</li> <li>Cyanide: 1,338</li> <li>Total iron: 608</li> <li>Filtered iron: 556</li> <li>Total lead: 363 (before 1984)</li> <li>Total lead: 5,032 (since 1984)</li> <li>Total lead: 5,032 (since 1984)</li> <li>Total mercury: 1,702</li> <li>Filtered lead: 1,016 (since 84)</li> <li>Total mercury: 706</li> <li>Total selenium: 1,737</li> <li>Filtered nickel: 807</li> <li>Total selenium: 682</li> <li>Total thallium: 1,423</li> <li>Filtered thallium: 653</li> <li>Total time: 6,638</li> <li>Filtered zinc: 984</li> </ul>		



6

#### Stormwater Quality of Common Pollutants by Land Use (NSQD ver 3)



## Source Characteristics of Stormwater Pollutants

- Quality of sheetflows vary for different areas.
- Need to track pollutants from sources and examine controls that affect these sources, the transport system, and outfall.

9

First flush analyses compared first 30 minute composite to complete storm composite samples for the 50 to 100 events in the NSQD having the data. Not all constituents or land uses exhibited first flush. Total phosphorus had significant first flush for commercial and residential areas, but not for industrial, institutional, or open space areas.



**Sources of Common Stormwater Pollutants** 



The stormwater data collected by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources and the USGS between 1991 and 1997 for multiple research studies were compiled and analyzed as a single data set. The data include sampling locations at roofs, streets, driveways, parking lots, lawns, and undeveloped areas in residential, commercial, and light industrial land uses. (NRC 2015).

10

This investigation of first flush conditions indicated that a first flush effect was not present for all the land use categories, and certainly not for all constituents.

Commercial and residential areas were more likely to show first flushes, especially if the peak rainfall occurred near the beginning of the event.

It is expected that this effect will be more likely to occur in a watershed with a high level of imperviousness, but even so, the data indicated first flushes for less than half of the samples for the most impervious areas, most likely due to varying rain with time.

Complex drainage systems will also blend separate flows at different time steps, further obscuring first flushes.

<image><image>



13



## **Urban Stormwater Hydrology**

• Early focus of urban stormwater was on storm sewer and flood control design using the Rational Method and TR-55 (both single event, "design storm" methods).

• The Curve Number procedure was developed in the 1950s by the (then) SCS as a simple tool for estimating volumes generated by large storm events in agricultural areas, converted to urban uses in mid 1970s (TR55 in SCS 1976). Data based on many decades of observations of large storms in urban areas, at Corps of Engineers monitoring locations. Data available from the Rainfall-Runoff database report prepared by the Univ. of Florida for the EPA.

• Water quality focus results form Public Law 92-500, the Clean Water Act, 1972. Stormwater quality research started in the late 1960s, with a few earlier interesting studies. Big push with Nationwide Urban Runoff Program (NURP) in late 70s and early 80s. Most still rely on earlier drainage design approaches. Distributed infiltration systems demonstrated in the 1960s.

## Importance of Site Hydrology in the Design of Stormwater Controls

- Design of stormwater management programs requires knowledge of site hydrology
- Understanding of flows (variations for different storm conditions, sources of flows from within the drainage area, and quality of those flows), are needed for effective design of source area and outfall controls.

# Design Issues for Stormwater Quality Management

- Recognize different objectives of storm drainage systems
- Recognize associated rainfall conditions affecting different objectives
- Select appropriate tools for evaluation and design
- Example 4 major rainfall categories for Milwaukee, WI (as monitored during NURP):
  - <0.5 in (<12 mm) (median rain by count)
  - 0.5 to 1.5 in (12 to 40 mm) (most of the runoff)
  - 1.5 to 3 in (40 to 75 mm) (few events)
  - >3 in (>75 mm) (drainage design and flooding)

18



20



#### 19





In this 5 year period, the median rain depth was about 4.4 mm, the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile rain depth was about 90 mm, and the largest rain depth was about 240 mm (second largest was 207 mm and 3<sup>rd</sup> largest dropped to 72 mm). Recall the 24-hr/5-yr event was 54-148 mm and the 100 yr/24 hr rain event was 91 to 254 mm).





Importance of Small and Intermediate Sized Rains		
	Beijing 2012 through 2016 rains	
% of rain events <10 mm depth:	70%	
Rain depth associated with 10% of annual runoff:	<10 mm	
Rain depth associated with 50% of annual runoff:	<40 mm	
Rain depth associated with 80% of annual runoff:	<70 mm	
Large rains >70 mm therefore contributed about 20% of the annual runoff for this 5 year monitoring period (unusually large?)		

Curve numbers for --hvdrologic soil group Cover description Average percent The SCS (NRCS) с D Cover type and hydrologic condition impervious area 2′ в curve number Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established) 79 69 61 86 79 74 89 84 80 pervious areas: Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. in WinTR-55 and (excluding right-of-way) 08 98 98 98 Streets and roads: Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding 98 89 85 82 98 92 89 87 right-of-way) Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way)...... 98 83 76 72 98 93 91 89 Gravel (including right-of-way) ...... Dirt (including right-of-way)..... Dirt (nehrling right-of way). Westen desert tinna areas: Natural desert lands-anging (pervisoris areas only) # ..., (desert sharh with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch and beeln borders). Commercial and beelnses. Infustrial Infustrial 63 77 85 88 common urban 96 96 96 89 81 94 91 95 93 85 72 92 88 used in the US. Industrial ...... sidential districts by average lot size: 1/8 acre or less (town houses) ...... 65 38 30 25 77 61 57 54 51 46 85 75 72 70 68 65 90 83 81 80 79 77 92 87 86 85 84 82 Typical curve 1/4 acre 1/4 acre ..... 1/8 acre ..... 1/2 acre ... 1 acre ..... 2 acres ..... Developing urban areas Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no vegetation) ⊮... 77 86 91 94 from TR-55. The Idle lands (CN's are determined using cover types similar to those in table 2-2c). Average runoff condition, and L = 0.2S. A strate material condition, and 1, = 0.53. Marchan material condition, and 1, = 0.53. Marchan construction of the strate strategies are strategies and the strategies are an followin lupervision areas are directly constructed to the dimakany strategies may be marchan of X of 30, and performance areas exclusions and good hydrodyce condition. (XY) for other combinations of conditions may be computed to dimake the combinations of open space in (XS) shown are equivalent to those of particular composition of the technological transfer and the strategies of the combinations of open space. in a given area. cover type cover type: (2):+ 60 and the proton structure that have being should be compared using figures 26 or 2.4 here of on the inspervious area percentage (2):+ 60 and the percisions area (X): The pervisions area. (X): area issumed ophylatelist to descrit abult is possible perclosed, are constitution. (2):+ 60 and the percisions area (X): The pervisions area. (X): area issumed ophylatelist to descrit abult is possible perclosed, are and the percentage and the percentag



25



The following equation can be used to calculate the actual NRCS curve number (CN) from observed rainfall depth (P) and runoff depth (Q), both expressed in inches:

## $CN = 1000/[10+5P+10Q-10(Q^2+1.25QP)^{1/2}]$

The following example plots use rainfall and runoff data from the EPA's NURP projects in the early 1980s (EPA 1983), and from the EPA's rainfallrunoff-quantity data base (Huber, et al. 1982).









# Knowing the Runoff Volume is the Key to Estimating Pollutant Mass

- There is usually a simple relationship between rain depth and runoff depth.
- Changes in rain depth affect the relative contributions of runoff and pollutant mass discharges:
  - Directly connected impervious areas contribute most of the flows during relatively small rains
  - Disturbed urban soils may dominate during larger rains



# **Pavement Hydrology**

Monitoring was conducted at many different scales, from several hectare isolated paved parking areas (and roofs) to smaller controlled areas.





Variable Runoff Losses by Rain Depth

Significant infiltration observed at urban roadways for small rains. Maximum infiltration losses were about 10 mm (not important for large drainage design storms, but can be large fraction of smaller rains).

37

Pavement infiltration tests along interstate highway, Alabama. Test sites also had moisture sensors under the pavement and tipping-bucket rain gages (and extensive road safety!).







# Infiltration Characteristics in Compacted Urban Soils

Tests were conducted at many different scales at non-paved areas also.

Ponding during very intense rain in area having sandy soils (sandy soil area even had storm drain inlet).



42

Disturbing urban soils during land development totally mess up the natural soil structure



One type of infiltration test: Double-Ring Infiltrometer – ASTM D3385 (we typically have used clusters of small Turf-Tec double-ring infiltrometers to measure local variability)





Currently we rely more on larger trench and borehole tests that have less edge effects and better correspond to conditions that occur during actual rains.

Soil modifications can result in greatly enhanced infiltration in marginal soils.





46

#### 45

# **Field Infiltration Tests**

- Previous research identified significant reductions in infiltration rates in disturbed urban soils.
- More than 150 tests were conducted in predominately sandy and clayey urban soils in the Birmingham and Mobile, Alabama, areas.

# Infiltration Rates in Disturbed Urban Soils (AL tests)



Infiltration rates of urban soils are more strongly influenced by compaction than by moisture for sandy soils and by both compaction and moisture in clayey soils.

## Infiltration Rates during Tests of Disturbed Urban Soils

	Number of tests	Average infiltration rate (mm/hr)	COV
Noncompacted sandy soils	36	330	0.4
Compacted sandy soils	39	36	1.3
Noncompacted and dry clayey soils	18	250	1.5
All other clayey soils (compacted and dry, plus all wetter conditions)	60	5	2.4

49

#### Laboratory Column Tests

The media layer was about 0.5 m (1.5 ft ) thick.

Four liters of challenge water was poured into each lab column that was filled with one of the media mixtures. Clean water was used for the flow test.

The surface ponding depths in the columns ranged between 28 cm (11 in.) and 36 cm (14 in.) to correspond to the approximate maximum ponding depths at biofilters.



Effluent samples were collected from the bottom of the columns at the beginning, middle, and end of the drainage time and composted in clean 1 L bottles for the lab analyses.

## Laboratory Infiltration Rate Tests for Different Soil Mixtures and Compaction

- These lab tests were run for up to 20 days, although most were completed (when steady low rates were observed) within 3 or 4 days.
- Initial soil moisture levels were about 8% (sand was about 3%), while the moisture levels after the tests ranged from about 20 to 45%.
- Three methods were used to compact the test specimens: hand compaction, plus two Proctor test methods.
- Both Modified and Standard Proctor Compactions follow ASTM standard (D 1140-54).

50

## **Compaction Methods**

- Hand compaction (gentle hand pressing to force the soil into the column section with as little compaction as possible),
- Standard Proctor Compaction (24.4 kN hammer dropped 25 times form 300 mm on each of 3 soil layers).
- Modified Proctor Compaction (44.5 kN hammer dropped 25 times from 460 mm on each of 5 soil layers).

nd Clay					
	Silt	Sandy Ioam	Clayey Ioam	Silty Ioam	Clay mix
0		72.1	30.1	19.4	30
100		9.2	30.0	9.7	50
	100	18.7	39.9	70.9	20
	)0 100 100	Image: 00     Image: 00       100     100       100     100	Image: Non-State     Image: Non-State       100     72.1       100     9.2       100     18.7	Image: Non-State     Image: Non-State       100     Image: Non-State	100       72.1       30.1       19.4         100       9.2       30.0       9.7         100       100       18.7       39.9       70.9











57

Long-Term Sustainable Average Infiltration Rates (cont.)				
Soil Texture	Compaction Method	Dry Bulk Density (g/cc)	Effects on Root Growth (per NRCS)	Long-term Average Infilt. Rate (mm/hr)
Sandy Loam	Hand Standard Modified	1.595 1.653 1.992	May Affect May Affect Restrict	900 230 38
Silt Loam	Hand Standard Modified	1.504 1.593 1.690	May Affect May Affect May Affect +	33 0. 7 0.04
Clay Loam	Hand Standard Modified	1.502 1.703 1.911	May Affect Restrict Restrict	7.4 0.38 0

Exa	Example Long-Term Sustainable Average				
	Infiltration Rates				
Soil Texture	Compaction Method	Dry Bulk Density (g/cc)	Effects on Root Growth (per NRCS)	Long-term Average Infilt. Rate (mm/hr)	
Sand	Hand	1.451	ldeal	Very high	
	Standard	1.494	Ideal	Very high	
	Modified	1.620	May affect -	2,000	
Silt	Hand	1.508	May affect	450	
	Standard	1.680	May affect +	23	
	Modified	1.740	Restrict	2.0	
Clay	Hand	1.241	May affect	76	
	Standard	n/a	n/a	0	
	Modified	n/a	n/a	0	

## Stormwater Bioinfiltration Site Studies in Tuscaloosa Areas Devastated by Tornado

- The test sites are all located adjacent to fire hydrants for easy access to large quantities of water and are located in the city's right-of way.
- A 1 m diameter auger was used to drill holes about 1 to 1.5 m ft deep.
- An approximate 1.5 to 2 m length of Sonotube was inserted in the bore holes to maintain structural integrity and had a several cm layer of coarse gravel placed on the bottom to protect the native soil.





Bore Hole Drilling, Double-ring and Bore Hole Infiltration Measurement Installations (Intersection of 21st Ave. E. and University Blvd E). \_\_\_\_\_60

## Field Tests for Stormwater Bioinfiltration Construction Sites, Cont'd

- During the tests, these bore holes were filled with water from the fire hydrants and the water elevations were measured with time until the infiltration rates reached an approximate steady rate.
- The effects of different compaction levels on the infiltration rates through the soil (obtained at the surface and subsurface locations at the test sites) were examined during laboratory column experiments.





Measurement Installations (Intersection of 21st Ave. E. and University Blvd E).

## Summary of Surface, Subsurface, and Laboratory Infiltration Data for Bioinfiltration Sites



62



64





management attempts to

we are.

65



#### Soil Modifications and Rain Gardens



66

# Amended Soil Compared to **Unamended Soil**

Constituent	Surface Runoff Mass Discharges	Subsurface Flow Mass Discharges
Runoff Volume	0.09	0.29
Phosphate	0.62	3.0
Ammonia	0.56	4.4
Nitrate	0.28	1.5
Copper	0.33	1.2
Zinc	0.061	0.18

# Water Quality and Quantity Effects of Amending Urban Soils with Compost

- Surface runoff rates and volumes decreased by five to ten times after amending the soils with compost, compared to unamended sites.
- Unfortunately, the concentrations of many pollutants increased in surface runoff from amended soils, especially nutrients which were leached from the fresh compost.
- However, the several year old test sites had less, but still elevated concentrations, compared to unamended soil only test plots.

# Sources of Stormwater Flows and Pollutants; Field Monitoring



















Full-scale street cleaning tests using conventional and highenergy street cleaners (street dirt loading and washoff monitoring and outfall water quality monitoring) (WI DNR and USGS)



78

#### Scour of Captured Sediment in Catchbasins

Three flow rates: 10, 5, and 2.5 LPS (160, 80, and 40 GPM)
Velocity measurements (Vx, Vy, and Vz)
Five overlying water depths above the sediment: 16, 36, 56, 76,

and 96 cm





# Sampling Effects on Particulate Solids Characteristics

- Sampling issues associated with stratified flows and bedload.
  - Sampler intakes on bottom of pipe may collect more bedload than represented in well-mixed sample, and
  - sampler tube velocity may not be able to transport large particles to sample bottles

These are two opposite problems that seldom cancel each other out nicely.

# **TSS vs. SSC and PSD Relationships**

Two separate issues:

- sampling to obtain representative water samples and
- laboratory processing to represent all particles.

Most problems result in loss of large particles. The combination of methods used affects modeling approach, especially the particle size distributions.

82

#### Results of Verification Monitoring of Popular Hydrodynamic Separator by USGS and WI NDR (Madison, WI)

Sampled solids load in	1623 kg
Sampled solids load out	1218 kg
Trapped by difference	405 kg (25% removal)
Actual trapped total sediment	536 kg (33% actual removal)
Fraction total solids not captured by automatic samplers	8% (131 kg missed by sampler, out of 1623 kg in sampler)

Standard automatic water samplers with single intakes at bottom of pipes. Influent samplers are affected by large particles while effluent samplers should not be, assuming the stormwater control is capable of removing the larger particles that stress the samplers.



85

Simple methods to obtain representative sample: create cascading and wellmixed flow at sampling location (well-mixed flow with bedload and no stratification). Examples shown for gutter and pipe flow installations.







Bedload in corrugated stormdrain and mound of settleable material at discharge into wet detention pond after many years of operation at ski resort at Snowmass, CO (drain from several acre resort parking area having sand applications for traction control).



86

## **Comparison of Three TSS/SSC Analytical Methods**

EPA TSS 160.2 Shake sample bottle vigorously then pour aliquot into graduated cylinder

Standard Methods USGS SSC D3977-TSS 2540D 97B Use stir plate and wide bore pipet at mid-depth in bottle and midway between wall and vortex

Use entire sample and pour from original bottle

USGS/Dekaport cone splitter used to separate sample into smaller volumes for different analyses (the best \$1200 you can spend to increase the quality of your lab results).





Roof runoff particle size distributions (for TSS shake and pour on left and for TSS stir and pipette and SSC on right)





90

Paved parking, storage, loading dock, vehicle service area, and street runoff particle size distributions (for shake and pour TSS on left and for stir and pipette TSS and SSC on right)



Non-paved parking and storage area runoff particle size distributions (for shake and pour TSS on left; SSC on right)



93

Average particle size distributions for different source area categories (for shake and pour TSS on left and for stir and pipette and SSC on right)



Landscaped, open space, and construction site runoff particle size distributions (for shake and pour TSS on left and for stir and pipette TSS and SSC on right)



94

## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Beijing has highly seasonal rains with long interevent periods. Literature suggests nutrient discharges are the greatest concern. First flush investigations of local stormwater, and sources of stormwater pollutants are also described in the literature. Desire to use distributed infiltration to reduce discharges.
- Much data are available in the NSQD that has been used to understand stormwater characteristics, mainly land use and geographical influences.
- First flushes are not consistent for all land uses and pollutants. Most important for simple drainages that are mostly paved; less obvious for complex drainages with separate source areas.
- Beijing drainage design events indicate a significant trend across the city, with more severe conditions to the east.

## Summary and Conclusions, cont.

- Conventional drainage design approaches do not work well for the smaller rains that are of most significance in annual pollutant and flow discharges.
- Most of the Beijing rains (by number) as less than 10 mm in depth, while those rains only result in about 10% of the annual runoff. About 80% of the runoff occurs for rains less than 70 mm in depth.
- Pavement hydrology measurements indicate that substantial fractions of smaller rains can infiltration through typical city streets, while bed compacted and thicker pavements have no appreciable infiltration losses.
- Disturbed urban soils have much less infiltration than expected due to typical compaction.

#### Summary and Conclusions, cont.

- Small-scale monitoring should be used to verify performance characteristics of stormwater controls, and large-scale monitoring is needed to verify their usefulness when applied throughout an area.
- Different sampling and laboratory methods for solids analyses can result in different particle size distributions which affect calculations pertaining to stormwater control performance.